

## **RECOMMENDATION TRACKER 2019 -2020**

### **Family and People Services Committee Policy and Scrutiny Committee**

<b>Recommendations from the meeting on 17 October 2019</b>
<b>NHS England</b>
<b>RECOMMENDATION 1</b>
That electronic consent for immunisations be pursued.
<b>NHS England comments:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The electronic consent for immunisations in schools is being piloted by three of our eight providers and we will be happy to update the committee on this once it has been rolled out.</li></ul>
<b>RECOMMENDATION 2</b>
That it be made clear that non-porcine options are available for some immunisations, and that the default option be the non-porcine option.
<b>NHS England comments:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Porcine is not used in all vaccinations and where it is, it is advised that parents wishing their children to have non-porcine gelatine MMR should request Priorix vaccine from their GP. This is a question for national PHE as NHSE (London) doesn't have a role in deciding the vaccines used for the national programmes.</li></ul>
<b>RECOMMENDATION 3</b>
That a mechanism for requiring private GPs to share immunisation rates be explored.
<b>NHS England comments:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>We have looked at getting the information from private GPs to upload onto child health information services to use in our reporting, but this has proven difficult as private GPs are private enterprises and there is no legal obligation for them to share vaccination information with us. We welcome any ideas or suggestions on how we might do this.</li></ul>
<b>West London CCG</b>
<b>RECOMMENDATION 1</b>
That it be made clear that non-porcine options are available for some immunisations, and that the default option be the non-porcine option.
<b>West London CCG comments:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
<b>RECOMMENDATION 2</b>
That Westminster become part of pilot that is being rolled out in East London.
<b>West London CCG comments:</b>

<b>RECOMMENDATION 3</b>	
That a pilot be set up to extend school vaccinations to nursery schools.	
<b>West London CCG comments:</b>	
<b>Central London CCG</b>	
<b>RECOMMENDATION 1</b>	
That it be made clear that non-porcine options are available for some immunisations, and that the default option be the non-porcine option.	
<b>Central London CCG comments:</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Porcine is not used in all vaccinations and where it is, it is advised that parents wishing their children to have non-porcine gelatine MMR should request Priorix vaccine from their GP. In terms of any change to policy regarding the use of vaccines, this is a question for national PHE as the CCG doesn't have a role in deciding the vaccine used for the national programmes.</li> </ul>
<b>RECOMMENDATION 2</b>	
That Westminster become part of pilot that is being rolled out in East London.	
<b>Central London CCG comments:</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NHS England has already responded by saying that it would be happy to share and update the Committee once the data collection process starts and there is enough information to determine the success or otherwise of the pilots. In terms of extending this to Westminster, we may need to wait for the results from the early adopter sites to come through before this can be fully rolled out in the Borough. However, as the CCG does not directly commission the school nursing provider in Westminster, CNWL, the final decision would need to be made by NHSE&amp;I (London) as they have the contract with the provider.</li> </ul>
<b>RECOMMENDATION 3</b>	
That a pilot be set up to extend school vaccinations to nursery schools.	
<b>Central London CCG comments:</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This recommendation by the Committee is very interesting and may be worth further exploration. However, at the moment in Westminster, most of this work is undertaken in general practice for children aged 0-5 through their primary care contract and thus any change to this arrangement would need to have the support of GPs and would also have a cost implication. It is acknowledged that current performance within general practice for this cohort is low and thus we have identified a number of areas where we think improvements can be made including greater use of our local text messaging service directed at parents for vaccination appointments and follow up reminders. Extending the school nursing service for pre-school children attending nursery even on a pilot-type basis</li> </ul>

would require a potential financial commitment from the CCG that at the moment we would find very difficult to achieve. Nonetheless, I will take away an action to discuss with primary care colleagues to see if we might be able to undertake something at a Primary Care Network (PCN) level especially where there are nurseries within a PCN boundary. PCNs are still in their infancy but generally meet monthly and thus I will endeavour to get a space on a forthcoming agenda to talk through the idea and will keep Committee members updated accordingly.

### **Local Implementation Group**

#### **RECOMMENDATION 1**

That all groups involved with immunisations in Westminster be encouraged to promote immunisation uptake across the city.

#### **Local Implementation Group comments:**

-